Applicant would like to thank the Examiner for the careful consideration given the

present application. The application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office Action,

and amended as necessary to more clearly and particularly describe the subject matter which

Applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 4 and 5 have been rewritten in independent form with all of the elements of the

base claim 2, and claims 6-8 have been amended to depend on amended claim 4. Claims 1-3

and 9 have been deleted. New claims 10-12 have been added and depend on amended claim 5.

Claims 1, 4, 5 and 9 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Pawlish et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,276,916). Claims 2-5 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

as being unpatentable over Pawlish in view of Imai. (U.S. Patent No. 6,389,267). Claims 4 and

5 have been rewritten in independent form with all the elements of the base claim 2. Claims 1-3

and 9 have been canceled. For at least the following reasons, the Examiner's rejection is

respectfully traversed.

None of the references disclose or suggest "a volume variable unit which adjusts a

sounding volume of the speaker to a level substantially same as a sounding volume of the

receiver" as recited in claims 4 and 5. The Office Action refers to col. 2, lines 28-44 and fig. 1

in Pawlish as disclosing a speaker and microphone.

The Office Action states that "the features upon which Applicant relies (i.e., the receiver

in the present application is another speaker) are not recited in the rejected claims(s)" (Office

Action, April 7, 2006). Applicant respectfully disagrees as the claim specifically mentions "a

foldable mobile phone in which a first case having a transmission microphone and a second case

having a receiver...comprising: a speaker, which is provided at a portion of the second case

which is exposed when the first case and the second case are closed" and "a volume variable unit

Page 7 of 10

which adjusts a sounding volume of the speaker to a level substantially same as a sounding

volume of the receiver". Therefore, both the speaker and the receiver in the claim have a

sounding volume.

In col. 2, lines 28–44 and fig. 1, Pawlish merely discloses a first housing portion 11 with

one speaker port 22, and a second housing portion 12 with a first microphone port 23 on one

surface and a second microphone port 24 on the second surface. Figure 4 in Pawlish, also only

discloses one speaker 33.

Although Pawlish teaches a speaker 33, Pawlish clearly fails to teach having both a

speaker with a sounding volume and a receiver with a sounding volume as in claimed invention.

Thus, Pawlish does not disclose or suggest both a speaker with a sounding volume and a receiver

with a sounding volume. Since Pawlish does not teach both a speaker with a sounding volume

and a receiver with a sounding volume, Pawlish fails to disclose or suggest a volume variable

unit that adjusts a sounding volume of the speaker to a level substantially the same as a sounding

volume of the receiver.

Imai does not overcome the deficiencies of the Pawlish patent. Imai does not disclose

or suggest adjusting a sounding volume of a speaker to a level substantially the same as a

sounding volume of a receiver. Therefore, even if combined, the references do not disclose or

suggest all the elements of the claimed invention.

Further with regards to claims 4 and 5, none of the references disclose or suggest "a

switching unit, which switches setting functions so that a first function for communicating by

using the transmission microphone and the receiver is set in a case of starting communicating

by operating the first button, and a second function for communicating by using the transmission

microphone and the speaker is set such that the sounding volume of the speaker is adjusted to the

level substantially same as the sounding volume of the receiver by the volume variable unit in

Page 8 of 10

a case of starting communicating by operating the second button", and as recited in claim 4

"wherein the switching unit switches to the first function when the first case and the second case

are opened to each other in a state that the second function is set" or recited in claim 5 "wherein

the switching unit switches to the second function when the first case and the second case are

closed to each other in a state that the first function is set."

By the above configurations, the user can switch the state of the mobile phone between

the open state and the closed state even when the mobile phone executes a phone call or the

phone call is received. For example, even when a communication is started in the closed state

of the upper and lower cases, the user can open the cases while communicating since it is

possible to shift the function of speaker and receiver between the first function and the second

function (see page 15, first paragraph).

Pawlish fails to show the operation of the speaker switching to the first function as in

claim 4 or the second function as in claim 5 in a case of starting communication. Imai does not

overcome the deficiencies of the Pawlish patent. Imai also fails to show the operation of the

speaker switching to the first function as in claim 4 or the second function as in claim 5 in a case

of starting communication. Therefore, even if combined, the references do not disclose or

suggest all the elements of the claimed invention.

In light of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in a

condition for allowance and notice to that effect is hereby requested. If it is determined that the

application is not in a condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to initiate a telephone

interview with the undersigned agent to expedite prosecution of the present application.

Page 9 of 10

Appln. No. 10/516,968 Amdt. dated July 12, 2006 Reply to Office Action dated April 7, 2006

If there are any additional fees resulting from this communication, please charge same to our Deposit Account No. 16-0820, our Order No.37251.

Respectfully submitted,

PEARNE & GORDON LLP

By: Suzarne B. Gagnon - Reg. No. 48 924

1801 East 9th Street Suite 1200 Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3108 (216) 579-1700

Date: July 12, 2006